

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/675,014	LONGSDORF ET AL.
	Examiner CHARLES R. KASENGE	Art Unit 2121

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) CHARLES R. KASENGE. (3) _____.

(2) Judson K. Champlin. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2009

Time: 1:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)

Claims discussed:

1, 32, 46, 52 and 53

Prior art documents discussed:

Drahm et al. U.S. Patent 6,662,120

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The attorney of record agreed to the changes illustrated in the Examiner's amendment to overcome a 102(b) rejection with the Drahm reference (col. 2, lines 26-44). Changes were also made to resolve minor informalities and 112 2nd issues.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Charles R Kasenge/
Examiner, Art Unit 2121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)